IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 63 OF 2006

Sheetal w/o Deepak Bansal .. Applicant

versus

Shri Deepak Bansal .. Respondent

...

Mr.Chetan Agarwal for the applicant.

Mr.Parag Shelke for respondent

CORAM : D.G. KARNIK, J

DATED : 21st August 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and respondent.

2. The only ground urged by the learned counsel for the applicant for transfer of the marriage petition is that she cannot afford to go Aurangabad on account of poor financial condition. He further submitted that in any event, she cannot go alone and she would be

required to be accompanied by one of the parents and therefore, she would be required to spend for two tickets for going to and coming back from Aurangabad. Learned counsel for the respondent states that the respondent is willing to pay for the travel expenses of the applicant and the accompanying person. One way ticket for Aurangabad by luxury bus is Rs.250/- and therefore expenses for two persons, to and fro would come to Rs.1,000/- per trip.

3. The respondent is willing to pay Rs.1250/- to the applicant on every date on which she is required to go to Aurangabad for attending to the marriage petition. He further states that expenses of first travel would be paid in advance and for each subsequent travel, payment would be made in advance. Statement made by the respondent through his counsel is accepted as an undertaking given to this court.

4. In view of the undertaking nothing further survives in the petition for transfer. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(D.G. KARNIK, J)